

1

Solutions to Assignment 4

1. a) \mathbb{N} has a least element and \mathbb{Z} doesn't:

$\forall x \exists y (y < x)$ separates these structures.

b) As mentioned in class and in the problem, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ has atoms $\{a\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra \mathcal{B} does not: arbitrary elements are unions of sets which look like

$$X = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{p_i} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^m U_{q_j} \text{ for}$$

distinct primes $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m$. If r is another prime

then $U_r \cap X \subsetneq X$ and so X is not an atom.

$$\forall x (x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \neq y \wedge y \neq 0 \wedge y \cap x = y))$$

2. a) We first show that for any term τ ,

$$\models (\bar{i}(\tau)) = \overline{\models(i)}(\tau) \text{ (the interpretation of } \tau \text{ in } M \text{ is sent to its interpretation in } N \text{ by } \models \text{).}$$

By induction on terms: for a variable x ,

$$\models(\bar{i}(x)) = \models(i(x)), \quad \overline{\models(i)}(x) = \models(i(x)).$$

Suppose g is an n -ary function and τ_1, \dots, τ_n are terms

②

$$\text{Then } f(\bar{c}(g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))) = f(g^M(\bar{c}(\tau_1), \dots, \bar{c}(\tau_n)))$$

$$(\text{since } f \text{ is an embedding}) = g^N(f(\bar{c}(\tau_1)), \dots, f(\bar{c}(\tau_n)))$$

$$(\text{by induction}) = g^N(\overline{f(i)}(\tau_1), \dots, \overline{f(i)}(\tau_n))$$

$$= \overline{f(i)}(g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))$$

Now, for atomic formulas $R(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$

$$\mathcal{M} \models_i R(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \text{ iff } (\bar{c}(\tau_1), \dots, \bar{c}(\tau_n)) \in R^{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$\text{iff } (f(\bar{c}(\tau_1)), \dots, f(\bar{c}(\tau_n))) \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$\text{iff } (\overline{f(i)}(\tau_1), \dots, \overline{f(i)}(\tau_n)) \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathcal{N} \models_{\overline{f(i)}} R(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

If we know $\mathcal{M} \models_i \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \models_{\overline{f(i)}} \varphi$ then easily

$$\mathcal{M} \models_i \neg \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \not\models_{\overline{f(i)}} \varphi \quad \text{iff additionally,}$$

$$\mathcal{M} \models_i \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \not\models_{\overline{f(i)}} \varphi \quad \text{then } \mathcal{M} \models_i \varphi \wedge \psi \text{ iff}$$

$$\mathcal{M} \models_i \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \models_i \psi \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \models_{\overline{f(i)}} \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \models_{\overline{f(i)}} \psi$$

$$\text{iff } \mathcal{M} \models_{\overline{f(i)}} \varphi \wedge \psi.$$

3

b) An isomorphism is an embedding so by a) we know that quantifier-free formulas are preserved.

Suppose $f: M \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism and

$\exists x \varphi$ is an existential formula. We want to show

$$M \models \exists x \varphi \iff N \models \exists x \varphi$$

\Rightarrow Choose $a \in M$ and $i' = i$ except $i'(x) = a$ s.t.

$$M \models_i \varphi \quad \text{Then by induction } N \models_{f(i')} \varphi$$

$$f(i) = f(i') \text{ except possibly at } x \text{ so } N \models_{f(i)} \exists x \varphi$$

\Leftarrow Suppose $b \in N$ and j is an assignment of variables s.t. $j = f(i)$ except $j(x) = b$. Let $a = f^{-1}(b)$ and let $i' = i$ except $i'(x) = a$. Then $j = f(i')$.

If we assume that $N \models_{f(i')} \varphi$ then $N \models_{f(i)} \varphi$ so by induction $M \models_i \varphi$ so $M \models \exists x \varphi$.

3. Suppose $(\mathbb{R}, <) \cong (\mathbb{R} - \{0\}, <)$ by a function $f: \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $a_n = f(-\frac{1}{n})$ for $n > 0$ and $b_n = f(\frac{1}{n})$ for $n > 0$.

Notice, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, either $f(x) < a_n$ for some n

(4)

or $f(x) > b_n$ for some n . So the range of f is contained in $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < a_n \text{ for some } n\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > b_n \text{ for some } n\}$.

But the a_n 's form an increasing sequence in \mathbb{R} bounded above by b_1 for instance.

So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists and is some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. By our first

observation, $a \notin \text{range}(f)$ so f is not an isomorphism.

4. If \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are two countable dense linear orders with a left and right endpoint then

$M = \{m_0, m_1\} \cup M'$ where m_0 is the left endpoint, m_1 is the right endpoint and M' is a countable dense linear order without endpoints.

Similarly $N = \{n_0, n_1\} \cup N'$ with the same notation.

By Cantor's Theorem $M' \cong N'$ as orders and if we extend this to m_0 and m_1 , sending them to n_0 and n_1 , we have an order isomorphism showing

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$$