

(1)

Solutions to Assignment #1

1. Consider the set S of strings of propositional symbols for which $N(s) = (\# \text{ of } \wedge\text{'s}) - (\# \text{ of } \rightarrow\text{'s})$ in $s \in S \geq 0$.

We show that S is closed under the three conditions in the definition of formula:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad N(p) = 0 \text{ where } p \text{ is any prop. var. so } p \in S.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad N(\neg\varphi) = N(\varphi) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in S.$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad N((\varphi \square \psi)) = N(\varphi) + N(\psi) + 1 \geq 0$$

if \square is either \vee or \wedge

$$N((\varphi \rightarrow \psi)) = N(\varphi) + N(\psi) \geq 0.$$

In either case, if $\varphi, \psi \in S$ then $\varphi \square \psi \in S$ for any binary connective.

So we conclude $N(\varphi) \geq 0$ for any formula φ .

$$2. \varphi := (\neg((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow s)$$

$$\varphi_1 := \neg((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \rightarrow r)) \quad \begin{matrix} / & \diagdown \\ & s \end{matrix}$$

$$\varphi_2 := ((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \rightarrow r)) \quad \text{So the subformulas are}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & p, q, r, \neg q, (p \wedge \neg q), s \\ & & \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (\neg q) & (q \rightarrow r) & (q \rightarrow r), \varphi_3, \varphi_2 \text{ and } \varphi, \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown & \diagup \quad \diagdown & \\ p & \neg q & q & r \end{array}$$

(2)

3. We need to show the set of accepted strings satisfies:

- ① every prop. var is accepted.
- ② if s is accepted then $\neg s$ is accepted and
- ③ if s, t are accepted then $(s \square t)$ is accepted for any binary connective \square .

By induction on formulas, if we do these 3 things then every formula is accepted.

- ① is just (b) of the algorithm
- ② is (d).

So we need to verify ③. We need to prove one small lemma that was alluded to in class:

Lemma: If φ is an ~~formula~~^{accepted string} then the bracket count of any binary connective is > 0 .

Pf/ We do this by induction on ~~formulas~~^{the length of the string} as well.

For propositional variables and \neg there are no binary connectives. For $\neg\varphi$, the bracket count for a binary connective doesn't change.

If we are looking at $(\varphi \square \varphi)$ then the bracket count of a binary connective in φ or φ increases by 1 so remains > 0 . For \square , the bracket count is $1 > 0$. \blacksquare

Now back to ③: Suppose we have $(s \square t)$

(3)

for accepted strings s, t and binary connective \square .

By our lemma, the bracket count of any binary connective in s or t is > 0 . So the bracket count of that binary connective in $(s \square t)$ is > 1 . Since s is accepted, the bracket count of s is allowable so the bracket count of \square is 1. Putting this together then when the algorithm sees $(s \square t)$, the first binary connective with bracket count 1 is \square and s and t are accepted so it accepts $(s \square t)$.