3-pass protocol, physical version

- The NYTimes wants to have a way of securely receiving anonymous documents.
- It places a lockable box outside its offices and the anonymous source brings the documents, puts them in the box and puts a lock on the box.
- The editors then go outside and put their own lock on the box.
- Later, the source comes back and removes their lock.
- The editors then take their lock off the box and remove the documents.

3-pass protocol, digital version

- Bob, who wants to receive something securely (and potentially anonymously), chooses a large prime p and publishes it.
- Alice encodes her message as a number *m* < *p* and also picks an exponent *a*, 0 < *a* < *p* with gcd(*a*, *p* 1) = 1. She posts *m^a* mod *p*.
- Bob picks his own exponent b, 0 < b < p with gcd(b, p 1) = 1 and publishes m^{ab} .
- Alice knows the multiplicative inverse of *a* mod (*p* − 1) and so she is able to post *m^b* mod *p*.
- Bob then uses the multiplicative inverse of *b* mod (*p*−1) to determine *m*.

- The simplest primality test uses Fermat's little theorem.
- Fermat in the contrapositive, says that if 0 < a < n and $a^{n-1} \neq 1 \mod n$ then *n* is not prime.
- Unfortunately, there are composite numbers *n* such that $a^n \equiv a \mod n$ for all *a*. In fact, there are infinitely many such numbers.

Primality testing, Miller-Rabin

Lemma

If $x^2 \equiv y^2 \mod n$ and $x \not\equiv \pm y \mod n$ then n is composite. Moreover, gcd(n, x + y) and gcd(n, x - y) are non-trivial factors of n.

- Here is the Miller-Rabin algorithm for determining primality probabilistically:
- Suppose *n* is odd and greater than 9. Write $n 1 = 2^k m$ where *m* is odd.
- Now pick a < n randomly and compute a series b_i for i = 0,..., k - 1 as follows:

$$b_0 \equiv a^m \mod n, b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \mod n, \dots, b_i \equiv b_{i-1}^2 \mod n, \dots$$

• We will guess that *n* is prime if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1 \mod n$ or if $b_i \equiv -1 \mod n$ for any *i*. Otherwise we will say that *n* is composite.

- Claim: If we say *n* is composite we will be correct.
- There is at most a 25% chance that if we say *n* is prime then we will be wrong.
- If we repeat this test many times for randomly chosen a and we always get the answer "prime" then with high probability n is prime.

Primality testing, Agarwal-Kayal-Saxena

- There is a primality test which is completely deterministic and polynomial in the number of digits of the given number.
- The problem is that its known run-time is order n⁶ although 6 is not known to be best possible.
- In practice, if one needs to determine primality for a given number, one uses the probabilistic algorithms to see if you can prove it is not prime and then tries a series of special purpose primality tests which are not efficient but are good enough for "small" numbers, say ones with fewer than 1000 digits.