

1

Solutions to Assignment #2

1. a) An n -dim. v. sp. over \mathbb{Z}_2 is bijective with \mathbb{Z}_2^n so the number of vectors is 2^n .

b) and c): For an $n \times n$ matrix to be invertible, the 1st column cannot be 0 so there are $2^n - 1$ choices. The 2nd column cannot be a mult. of the first and so over \mathbb{Z}_2 , this leaves $2^n - 2$ possibilities.

For the 3rd column, it cannot lie in the space gen. by the first two so there are $2^n - 2^2$ possibilities.

For the i th column, it cannot lie in the subspace generated by the first $i-1$ so there are $2^n - 2^{i-1}$ choices.

This means there are $(2^n - 1)(2^n - 2)(2^n - 2^2) \cdots (2^n - 2^{i-1}) \cdots (2^n - 2^{n-1})$ invertible $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

2. ~~2~~ Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_m is a basis for U , w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis for W .

Claim: $(u_1, 0), \dots, (u_m, 0), (0, w_1), \dots, (0, w_n)$ is a basis for $U \times W$.

Lin. indep.: Suppose $\lambda_1(u_1, 0) + \dots + \lambda_m(u_m, 0) + \mu_1(0, w_1) + \dots + \mu_n(0, w_n) = (0, 0)$.

Then $\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_m u_m = 0$ and $\mu_1 w_1 + \dots + \mu_n w_n = 0$ and so $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$, $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_n = 0$ since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ are lin. indep.

Span: if $(u, w) \in U \times W$ then

$$u = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_m u_m, w = \mu_1 w_1 + \dots + \mu_n w_n \text{ for some } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in K.$$

$$\text{So } (u, w) = \lambda_1 (u_1, 0) + \dots + \lambda_m (u_m, 0) + \mu_1 (0, w_1) + \dots + \mu_n (0, w_n)$$

3. Suppose $\dim(U) = m, \dim(W) = n$ and $\dim(U+W) = k$

Pick a basis v_1, \dots, v_k for $U+W$. Extend this basis to one for U , say u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m and extend v_1, \dots, v_k to a basis for W , say w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n .

Claim: $v_1, \dots, v_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n$ is a basis for $U+W$.

Span: This is the easier of the two things we need to check. If $u+w \in U+W$, then $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m)$ and $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n)$ so $u+w \in \text{span}$ of our suggested basis.

Lin. indep: Suppose

$$\delta_1 v_1 + \dots + \delta_k v_k + \lambda_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_m u_m + \mu_{k+1} w_{k+1} + \dots + \mu_n w_n = 0$$

$$\text{We have } \underbrace{\delta_1 v_1 + \dots + \delta_k v_k + \lambda_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_m u_m}_{\in U} = \underbrace{-\mu_{k+1} w_{k+1} - \dots - \mu_n w_n}_{\in W}.$$

(*)

3

So $-\mu_{k+1}w_{k+1} \dots -\mu_n w_n \in U \cap W$ which means it can be written as a linear comb. of v_1, \dots, v_k .

Say $-\mu_{k+1}w_{k+1} \dots -\mu_n w_n = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k$.

But $v_1, \dots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n$ are lin. indep. so all of these scalars are 0.

Going back to $\{U\}$ then we see that

$$\delta_1 v_1 + \dots + \delta_k v_k + \lambda_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_m u_m = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$v_1, \dots, v_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m$ is lin. indep. so all of these scalars are 0 as well.

We conclude then that $\dim(U+W) = m+n-k$.

4. If $C = (c_{ij})$, $D = (d_{ij})$ are two $n \times n$ matrices then the i th entry on the diagonal of CD is

$$c_{i1}d_{1i} + \dots + c_{in}d_{ni}$$

So if $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij})$ are $n \times n$ matrices then

$$\text{tr}(AB) = a_{11}b_{11} + \dots + a_{1n}b_{n1} + a_{21}b_{12} + \dots + a_{2n}b_{n2} + \dots$$

$$+ a_{n1}b_{1n} + \dots + a_{nn}b_{nn}$$

whereas,

4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(BA) &= b_{11}a_{11} + \dots + b_{1n}a_{n1} + b_{21}a_{12} + \dots + b_{2n}a_{n2} + \\ &\dots + b_{n1}a_{1n} + \dots + b_{nn}a_{nn} \end{aligned}$$

which are seen to be equal by reordering the terms.