# SOLUTION OF A MODEL ELLIPTIC PROBLEM

• Consider the following 1D second–order elliptic problem

$$
\mathcal{L} u \equiv \nu u'' - au' + bu = f,
$$

where v, *a* and *b* are constant and  $f = f(x)$  is a smooth 2 $\pi$ –periodic function.

- For  $v = 10$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 5$  and the RHS function  $f(x) = e^{\sin(x)} \left[ \nu(\cos^2(x) - \sin(x)) - a\cos(x) + b \right]$  the solution is  $u(x) = e^{\sin(x)}$
- We are interested in  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions in the form

$$
u_N(x) = \sum_{|k| \le N} \hat{u}_k e^{ikx}
$$

- To be analyzed:
	- **–** Galerkin method
	- **–** Collocation method (two variants)

# SOLUTION OF AN ELLIPTIC PROBLEM — GALERKIN APPROACH (I)

#### • Residual

$$
R_N(x) = \mathcal{L} u_N - f = \sum_{|k| \le N} \hat{u}_k \mathcal{L} e^{ikx} - f
$$

• Cancellation of the residual in the mean (setting to zero projections on the basis functions  $W_n(x) = e^{inx}$ 

$$
(R_N, W_n) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{u}_k(\mathcal{L}e^{ikx}, e^{inx}) - (f, e^{inx}) = 0, \ \ n = -N, \dots, N
$$

• Noting that  $\mathcal{L}e^{ikx} = (-\nu k^2 - iak + b)e^{ikx} \triangleq \mathcal{G}_k e^{ikx}$  we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=-N}^{N} G_k \hat{u}_k \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-n)} dx = \hat{f}_n, \ \ n = -N, \dots, N
$$

• Assuming  $G_k \neq 0$ , we obtain Galerkin equations for the coefficients  $\hat{\psi}_k$ 

$$
\mathcal{G}_k \hat{u}_k = \hat{f}_k, k = -N, \dots, N
$$

- **–** The Galerkin equations are decoupled
- **–** Since *<sup>u</sup>* is real, it is necessary to calculate <sup>ˆ</sup>*uk* for *k* ≥ 0 only

# SOLUTION OF AN ELLIPTIC PROBLEM — COLLOCATION APPROACH (I)

• Residual (determining the expansion coefficients <sup>ˆ</sup>*uk*)

$$
R_N(x) = \mathcal{L} u_N - f = \sum_{|k| \le N} \hat{u}_k \mathcal{L} e^{ikx} - f
$$

•Canceling the residual pointwise at the collocation points  $x_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$ 

$$
\sum_{k=-N}^{N} (g_k \hat{u}_k - \tilde{f}_k) e^{ikx_j} = 0, \ \ j = 1, \dots, M
$$

where (note the aliasing error)  $\tilde{f}_i$  $\tilde{f}_k = \hat{f}_l$  $\widehat{f}_k + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \diagdown \{0\}} \widehat{f}_l$ *k*+*lM*

•Thus, the collocation equations for the Fourier coefficients

$$
\mathcal{G}_k \hat{u}_k = \tilde{f}_k = \hat{f}_k + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}_{k+lM}, \ \ k = -N, \ldots, N
$$

- **–** Formally, the Galerkin and collocation methods are distinct
- **–** In practice, the projection (*f*,*eikx*) is evaluated using FFT and therefore also involves aliasing errors. Therefore, for the presen<sup>t</sup> problem, the two approaches are numerically equivalent.

# SOLUTION OF AN ELLIPTIC PROBLEM — COLLOCATION APPROACH (II)

• Residual (determining the nodal values  $u_N(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$ )

$$
R_N(x) = \mathcal{L} u_N - f
$$

• Canceling the residual pointwise at the collocation points  $x_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$ 

$$
[R_N(x_1),...,R_N(x_M)]^T = \mathbb{L}U_N - F = (\nu \mathbb{D}_2 - a \mathbb{D}_1 + b \mathbb{I})U_N - F = 0,
$$

where  $U_N = [u_N(x_1), \ldots, u_N(x_M)]^T$  and  $\mathbb{D}_1$  and  $\mathbb{D}_2$  are the differentiation matrices.

• Derivation of the differentiation matrices

$$
u_N^{(p)}(x_j) = \sum_k (ik)^p \hat{u}_k e^{ikx_j}
$$
  

$$
\hat{u}_k = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M u_N(x_j) e^{-ikx_j} \qquad \Longrightarrow u_N^{(p)}(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^M d_{ij}^{(p)} u_N(x_j)
$$

# SOLUTION OF AN ELLIPTIC PROBLEM — COLLOCATION APPROACH (III)

- Differentiation Matrices (for even collocation, i.e., *IN* <sup>=</sup> <sup>−</sup>*N* +1,...,*N* and  $M = 2N$  $d^{(1)}_{ij} =$  $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{i+j}\cot(h_{ij}) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} d_{ij}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(-1)^{i+j}N + \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{2\sin^2(h_{ij})} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ -\frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{12} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$
- Remarks:
	- **–** The differentiation matrices are full (and not so well–conditioned ...), so the system of equations for  $u_N(x_i)$  is now coupled
	- **–** For constant coefficient PDEs the presen<sup>t</sup> approach is therefore inferior to the first collocation approach where the Fourier coefficients are determined
	- **–** Note the relationship to the banded matrices obtained when approximating differential operators using finite differences
- Question Derive the above differentiation matrices, also for the case of odd collocation

## NYQUIST–SHANNON SAMPLING THEOREM

- If a function  $f(x)$  has a Fourier transform  $\hat{f}_k = 0$  for  $|k| > M$ , then it is completely determined by giving the value of the function at <sup>a</sup> series of points spaced  $\Delta x = \frac{1}{2M}$  apart. The values  $f_n = f(\frac{n}{2M})$  are called the samples of  $f(x)$ .
- The minimum sample frequency that allows reconstruction of the original signal, that is 2*M* samples per unit distance, is known as the Nyquist frequency . The time in between samples is called the Nyquist interval .
- The Nyquist–Shannon sampling theorem is a fundamental tenet in the field of information theory (originally formulated by Nyquist in 1928, but formally proved by Shannon only in 1949)

#### PDES WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS — GALERKIN APPROACH (I)

- Consider again the problem  $\mathcal{L}u = \nu u'' au' + bu = f$ , but assume now that the coefficient *a* is a function of space  $a = a(x)$
- The following Galerkin equations are obtained for <sup>ˆ</sup>*uk*

$$
-vk^{2}\hat{u}_{k} - i\sum_{p=-N}^{N} p\hat{a}_{k-p}\hat{u}_{p} + b\hat{u}_{k} = \hat{f}_{k}, \ \ k = -N, \ldots, N,
$$

where  $a(x) \cong a_N(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \hat{\phi}_k e^{ikx}$  and  $f(x) \cong f_N(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \hat{f}_k e^{ikx}$ ; Note that

$$
\sum_{q=-N}^{N} \hat{a}_{q} e^{iqx} \sum_{p=-N}^{N} \hat{u}_{p} e^{ipx} = \sum_{q,p=-N}^{N} \hat{a}_{q} \hat{u}_{p} e^{i(q+p)x} = \sum_{k=-2N}^{2N} \sum_{q,p=-N}^{N} \hat{a}_{q} \hat{u}_{p} e^{ikx}
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=-2N}^{2N} \sum_{p=-N}^{N} \hat{a}_{k-p} \hat{u}_{p} e^{ikx}, \text{ where } \hat{a}_{q}, \hat{u}_{q} \equiv 0, \text{ for } |q| > N
$$

• Now the Galerkin equations are coupled (a system of equations has to be solved)

#### PDES WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS — COLLOCATION APPROACH (I)

• With Fourier coefficients  $\hat{\psi}$  as unknowns, the collocation equations

$$
- \sum_{k=-N}^{N} (vk^{2} + b) \hat{\psi} e^{ikx_{j}} - a(x_{j}) \sum_{k=-N}^{N} ik \hat{\psi} e^{ikx_{j}} = f(x_{j}), \ \ j = 1, ..., M
$$

• Approximations of the Fourier coefficients of  $a(x)$  and  $f(x)$ ,  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{f}^c_k$ , respectively, are calculated using Discrete Fourier Transform;

$$
a(x_j) \sum_{k=-N}^{N} ik \,\tilde{\psi}_k e^{ikx_j} = \sum_{p=-N}^{N} \hat{\psi}_p e^{ipx_j} \sum_{q=-N}^{N} iq \,\tilde{\psi}_q e^{iqx_j} =
$$
  
\n
$$
i \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \left( \sum_{\substack{q,p=-N \ q+p=k}}^{N} q \,\tilde{\psi}_p \,\tilde{\psi}_q + \sum_{\substack{q,p=-N \ q+p=k+N}}^{N} q \,\tilde{\psi}_p \,\tilde{\psi}_q + \sum_{\substack{q,p=-N \ q+p=k-N}}^{N} q \,\tilde{\psi}_p \,\tilde{\psi}_q \right) e^{ikx_j}
$$
  
\n
$$
\triangleq i \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{S}_k e^{ikx_j}
$$

• The resulting algebraic system

$$
-vk^2 \hat{\psi} - i\hat{S}_k + b\hat{\psi} = \hat{f}_k, \ \ k = -N, \ldots, N,
$$

#### PDES WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS — COLLOCATION APPROACH (II)

• Expressing (hypothetically)  $a(x)$  and  $f(x)$  with infinite Fourier series we obtain

$$
au'\Big|_{x=x_j} = i \sum_{k=-N}^{N} (\hat{S}_k^{(0)} + \hat{S}_k^{(1)} + \hat{S}_k^{(2)} + \hat{S}_k^{(3)})e^{ikx_j}
$$
  
=  $i \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \left( \sum_{\substack{q,p=-N \ q+p=k}}^{N} q \hat{a}_p^c \hat{u}_q + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \ q,p=-N \ m\neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{q \neq p=N \ q+p=k}}^{N} q \hat{a}_{p+mM}^c \hat{u}_q + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \ q,p=-N \ q+p=k+N}}^{N} q \hat{a}_{p+mM}^c \hat{u}_q + \sum_{\substack{q=p=N \ q+p=k-N}}^{N} q \hat{a}_{p+mM}^c \hat{u}_q \right)$ 

• The collocation equation

$$
-vk^{2}\hat{u}_{k} - i\hat{S}_{k}^{(0)} + i\left(\hat{S}_{k}^{(1)} + \hat{S}_{k}^{(2)} + \hat{S}_{k}^{(3)}\right) + b\hat{u}_{k} = \hat{f}_{k}^{e} + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty\\ m\neq 0}}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{k+mM}^{e}, \ \ k=-N,\ldots,N,
$$

• Note that terms in red are absent in the corresponding Galerkin formulation

### PDES WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS — COLLOCATION APPROACH (III)

• With the nodal values  $u(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., M$  as unknowns, the collocation equations are (cf. 85)

 $(v\mathbb{D}_2 - \mathbb{D}' + b\mathbb{I})U_N = F$ where the matrix  $\mathbb{D}' = \left[ a(x_j)d_{jk}^{(1)} \right], j,k = 1,\ldots,M$ 

• Again, solution of an algebraic system is required

### FOURIER TRANSFORMS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

• Consider a function  $u = u(x, y)$  2 $\pi$ –periodic in both *x* and *y*; Direct Discrete Fourier Transform

$$
\hat{u}_{k_x,k_y} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x,y) e^{-ik_x x} dx \right] e^{-ik_y y} dy = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x,y) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} dx dy,
$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = [k_x, k_y]$  is the wavevector and  $\mathbf{r} = [x, y]$  is the position vector.

• Representation of a function  $u = u(x, y)$  as a double Fourier series

$$
u(x,y) = \sum_{k_x,k_y=-N}^{N} \hat{u}_{k_x,k_y} e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} = \sum_{k_x,k_y=-N}^{N} \hat{u}_{k_x,k_y} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}
$$

• Fourier transforms in two (and more) dimensions can be efficiently performed using most standard FFT packages.

# NONLINEAR PDES

- Replacing the term *au'* with the nonlinear the term *uu'* and applying Galerkin or collocation method leads to <sup>a</sup> system of nonlinear equations that need to be solved using iterative techniques
- $\bullet$  From now on we will focus on time–dependent (evolution) PDEs and as <sup>a</sup> model problem will consider the Burgers equation

$$
\begin{cases} \n\partial_t u + u \partial_x u - v \partial_{xx} u = 0 & \text{in } [0, 2\pi] \times [0, T] \\ \n u(x) = u_0(x) & \text{at } t = 0 \n\end{cases}
$$

Note that steady problems can sometimes be solved as <sup>a</sup> steady limit of certain time–dependent problems.

• Looking for solution in the form

$$
u_N(x,t) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{u}_k(t)e^{ikx}
$$

Note that the expansion coefficients  $\hat{\psi}(t)$  are now functions of time

• Denote by  $u_N^n$  the approximation of  $u_N$  at time  $t_n = n\Delta t$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ 

# NONLINEAR PDES — GALERKIN APPROACH (I)

• Time–discretization of the residual  $R_N(x,t)$ 

$$
R_N^n = \frac{u_N^{n+1} - u_N^n}{\Delta t} + u_N^n \partial_x u_N^n - \nu \partial_{xx} u_N^{n+1}
$$

Points to note:

- **–** explicit treatment of the nonlinear term avoids costly iterations
- **–** implicit treatment of the linear viscous term allows one to mitigate the stability restrictions on the time step ∆*<sup>t</sup>*
- **–** here using for simplicity first–order accurate explicit/implicit Euler can do much better than that
- system of equations obtained by applying the Galerkin formalism

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \nu k^2\right)\hat{u}_k^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t}\hat{u}_k^n - i\sum_{\substack{p,q=-N\\p+q=k}}^N q\hat{u}_p^n\hat{u}_q^n, \ \ k = -N,\ldots,N
$$

Note truncation of higher modes in the nonlinear term.

## NONLINEAR PDES — GALERKIN APPROACH (II)

- Evaluation of the nonlinear  $i\sum_{p,q=-N}^{N} q^{\gamma}$ *p*+*q*+*k* ^*u ^u* term in Fourier space results in a convolution sum which requires  $O(N^2)$  operations – can do better that that?
- Pseudospectral approach perform differentiation in Fourier space and evaluate products in real space; transition between the two representations is made using FFTs which cost "only"  $O(N \log(N))$ Outline of the algorithm:
	- 1. calculate (using FFT)  $u_N^n(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., M$  from  $\gamma_k^j$ ,  $k = -N...$ , *N*,
	- 2. calculate (using FFT)  $\partial_x u_N^n(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$  from  $ik \mathcal{U}_k$ ,  $k = -N \ldots, N$ ,
	- 3. calculate the product  $w_N^n(x_i) = u_N^n(x_i) \partial_x u_N^n(x_i)$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$
	- 4. Calculate (using inverse FFT)  $\tilde{w}_k^n$ ,  $k = -N \dots, N$  from  $w_N^n(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, M$
- Note that, because of the aliasing phenomenon, the quantity  $\tilde{w}_k^n$  is different  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \hat{\psi}_k^0 = i \sum_{p,q=-N}^{N} q^{\gamma}$ *p*+*q*=*k*  $\gamma$ *u*n $\gamma$

## NONLINEAR PDES — GALERKIN APPROACH (III)

• Analysis of aliasing in the pseudospectral calculation of the nonlinear term *N* ˜

$$
w_N^n(x_j) = \sum_{k=-N} \tilde{w}_k^n e^{ikx_j}, \text{ where } w_N^n(x_j) = u_N^n(x_j) \partial_x u_N^n(x_j)
$$

The Discrete Fourier Transform

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{n} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{N}^{n}(x_{j}) e^{-ikx_{j}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \sum_{p=-N}^{N} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{p} e^{ipx_{j}} \right) \left( \sum_{q=-N}^{N} iq \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q} e^{iqx_{j}} \right) e^{-ikx_{j}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{p,q=-N}^{N} iq \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{p} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q} e^{i(p+q-k)x_{j}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p,q=-N}^{N} iq \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{p} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{i(p+q-k)x_{j}}
$$
\n
$$
= \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{n} + i \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N\\p+q=k+M}}^{N} q \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{p} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q} + i \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N\\p+q=k-M}}^{N} q \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{p} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q} \mathbf{k} = -N \dots, N
$$

The term  $\hat{\psi}$  is the convolution sum obtained in the fully spectral Galerkin approach. The terms in red are the aliasing errors.

 $\bullet$ Thus, the pseudospectral Galerkin equations are

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \nu k^2\right)\hat{u}_k^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t}\hat{u}_k^n - \tilde{w}_k^n, \ k = -N, \dots, N
$$

# NONLINEAR PDES — COLLOCATION APPROACH (I)

• Time–discretization of the residual  $R_N(x,t)$ 

$$
R_N^n = \frac{u_N^{n+1} - u_N^n}{\Delta t} + u_N^n \partial_x u_N^n - \nu \partial_{xx} u_N^{n+1}
$$

• Canceling the residual at the collocation points

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ u_N^{n+1}(x_j) - u_N^n(x_j) \right] + u_N^n(x_j) \partial_X u_N^n(x_j) - \nu \partial_{xx} u_N^{n+1}(x_j) = 0 \ \ j = 1, ..., M
$$

- Straightforward calculation shows that the equation for the Fourier coefficients  $\hat{\psi}$  is the same as in the pseudospectral Galerkin approach. Thus the two methods are numerically equivalent.
- • Question — Show equivalence of pseudospectral Galerkin and collocation approaches to <sup>a</sup> nonlinear PDE

# NONLINEAR PDES — ALIASING REMOVAL (I)

- " $3/2$  rule" extend the spectrum, and therefore also the number of collocation points, of the quantities involved in the products, so that the aliasing errors arising in pseudospectral calculations are not present.
- Algorithm consider two  $2\pi$ –periodic functions

$$
a_N(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{a}_k e^{ikx}, \qquad b_N(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{b}_k e^{ikx}
$$

Calculated in a naive way, the coefficient of the product  $w(x) = a(x)b(x)$  are

$$
\tilde{w}_k = \hat{w}_k + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N \\ p+q=k+M}}^N \hat{a}_p \hat{b}_q + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N \\ p+q=k-M}}^N \hat{a}_p \hat{b}_q,
$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}_k$  are the coefficients of the convolution sum that we want to obtain (only)

# NONLINEAR PDES — ALIASING REMOVAL (II)

1. Extend the spectra  $\hat{\varphi}$  and  $\hat{b}$  $\hat{k}_k$  to  $\hat{k}_k$  and  $\hat{b}'_k$  according to

$$
\hat{a}'_k = \begin{cases} \hat{a}_k & \text{if } |k| \le N \\ 0 & \text{if } N < |k| \le N' \end{cases}, \qquad \hat{b}'_k = \begin{cases} \hat{b}_k & \text{if } |k| \le N \\ 0 & \text{if } N < |k| \le N' \end{cases}
$$

The number  $N'$  will be determined later.

- 2. Calculate (via FFT)  $a_{N'}$  and  $b_{N'}$  in real space on the extended grid  $x'_i = \frac{2\pi j}{N'}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, N'$  $a_{N'}(x_j') =$ *N*<sup>0</sup> ∑ *k*<sup>=</sup>−*N*<sup>0</sup>  $\hat{a}'_k e^{ikx'_j}, \hspace{3cm} b_{N'}(x'_j) =$  $N^{\prime}$ ∑ *k*<sup>=</sup>−*N*<sup>0</sup>  $\hat{b}'_k e^{ikx'_j}$
- 3. Multiply  $a_{N'}(x'_i)$  and  $b_{N'}(x'_j)$ :  $w'(x'_j) = a_{N'}(x'_j) b_{N'}(x'_j)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., N'$
- 4. Calculate (via FFT) the Fourier coefficients of  $w'(x'_i)$

$$
\tilde{w}'_k = \frac{1}{M'} \sum_{j=1}^{M'} w(x'_j) e^{-ikx'_j}, \ \ k = -N', \dots, N', \ \ M' = 2N' + 1
$$

Taking the latter quantity for  $k = -N, \ldots, N$  gives an expression for the convolution sum free of aliasing errors

# NONLINEAR PDES — ALIASING REMOVAL (III)

• Making a suitable choice for N'

$$
\tilde{w}'_k = \hat{w}_k + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N' \\ p+q=k+M'}}^{N'} \hat{a}'_p \hat{b}'_q + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N' \\ p+q=k-M'}}^{N'} \hat{a}'_p \hat{b}'_q \n= \hat{w}_k + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N \\ p+q=k+M'}}^{N} \hat{a}_p \hat{b}_q + \sum_{\substack{p,q=-N \\ p+q=k-M'}}^{N} \hat{a}_p \hat{b}_q
$$

because  $\hat{q}$ ,  $\hat{b}'_q = 0$  for  $|p|, |q| > N$ 

• The alias terms will vanish, when one of the frequencies *p* or *q* appearing in each term of the sum is larger than *N*. Observe that in the first alias term  $q = M' + k - p = 2N' + 1 + k - p$ , therefore

$$
\min_{|k|,|p| \le N}(q) = \min_{|k|,|p| \le N} (2N'+1+k-p) = 2N'+1-2N > N
$$

Hence  $2N' > 3N - 1$ . One may take  $N' \ge 3N/2$  (the "3/2 rule")

• Analogous argumen<sup>t</sup> for the second aliasing error sum.

### HYBRID INTEGRATION SCHEMES FOR ODES WITH BOTH LINEAR AND NONLINEAR TERMS)

• Consider <sup>a</sup> model ODE problem

$$
y' = r(y) + Ay
$$

- One would like to use a higher–order ODE integrator with
	- **–** explicit treatment of nonlinear terms
	- **–** implicit treatment of linear terms (with high–order derivatives)
- Combining a three-step Runge–Kutta method with the Crank–Nicholson method results in the following approach:

$$
\left(I - \frac{h_{rk}}{2}A\right)\mathbf{y}^{rk+1} = \mathbf{y}^{rk} + \frac{h_{rk}}{2}A\mathbf{y}^{rk} + h_{rk}\beta_{rk}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{y}^{rk}) + h_{rk}\zeta_{rk}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{y}^{rk-1}), \ \ rk = 1, 2, 3
$$

where

$h_1 = \frac{8}{15} \Delta t$	$h_2 = \frac{2}{15} \Delta t$	$h_3 = \frac{1}{3} \Delta t$
$\beta_1 = 1$	$\beta_2 = \frac{25}{8}$	$\beta_3 = \frac{9}{4}$
$\zeta_1 = 0$	$\zeta_2 = -\frac{17}{8}$	$\zeta_3 = -\frac{5}{4}$